Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/567,616	MUELLER, HORST	
Examiner	Art Unit	
David Buttner	1796	

	David Buttner	1796		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 18 September 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request	
a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this An no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ster than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	n. LED WITHIN TWO	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as	
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the		
	but prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered be	001100	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 				
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	·	•	_	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \(\sum \) will not be entered, or b) \(\sum \) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3 and 5-20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>4</u> . AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).	
10.	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.	
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:	
12. ☑ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☑ Other: <u>Note the attached 892 form</u> .				
	/David Buttner/ Primary Examiner, Art U	Init 1796		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments correctly depict the formula for "acrylic acid", then incorrectly attributes the next formula as being that of "(meth)acrylic acid". The pictured formula is actually of "methacrylic acid". Those competent in the field of polymer chemistry recognize that "(meth)acrylic acid" means "acrylic acid or methacrylic acid". This was explained in the last office action. The parentheses signify with or without the enclosed matter in the same manner that "claim(s)" means "claim or claims". US6500505 (col 3 line 18-20) clearly defines the term. If applicant asserts that this is an uncommon definition in the art, then the examiner can cite US5977258 (col 2 line 8-10) which is COMMONLY ASSIGNED and has a COMMON INVENTOR with the present application.

Applicant argues that Chang discloses too large a genuse to anticipate or even render obvious the claims. This is not convincing as the cited example employs two of the three required groups. Applicant's claimed subject matter is arrived at by merely replacing the example's transfer agent with another of the dozen listed by Chang. This single replacement with another of a dozen possible choices is at a minimum prima facie obvious. Arguments that the example only "randomly" selected a hydroxyl monomer are unconvincing as the author consciously made such a choice and clearly conveyed this in the reference.

Applicant did not cancel nonelected claim 4.